

Durham Research Online

Deposited in DRO:

13 July 2018

Version of attached file:

Accepted Version

Peer-review status of attached file:

Peer-reviewed

Citation for published item:

Rashed, A. and Tomlin, S. and Forbes, B. and Whittlesea, C. (2018) 'Current practice of preparing morphine infusions for nurse/patient-controlled analgesia in a UK paediatric hospital : healthcare professionals' views and experiences.', *European journal of hospital pharmacy*, 25 (6). pp. 327-330.

Further information on publisher's website:

<https://doi.org/10.1136/ejhpharm-2015-000866>

Publisher's copyright statement:

This article has been accepted for publication in *European Journal of Hospital Pharmacy* following peer review. The definitive copyedited, typeset version Rashed, A., Tomlin, S., Forbes, B. Whittlesea, C. (2018). Current practice of preparing morphine infusions for nurse/patient-controlled analgesia in a UK paediatric hospital: healthcare professionals' views and experiences. *European Journal of Hospital Pharmacy* 25(6): 327-330 is available online at: <https://doi.org/10.1136/ejhpharm-2015-000866>

Additional information:

Use policy

The full-text may be used and/or reproduced, and given to third parties in any format or medium, without prior permission or charge, for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes provided that:

- a full bibliographic reference is made to the original source
- a [link](#) is made to the metadata record in DRO
- the full-text is not changed in any way

The full-text must not be sold in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

Please consult the [full DRO policy](#) for further details.



King's Research Portal

DOI:

[10.1136/ejhpharm-2015-000866](https://doi.org/10.1136/ejhpharm-2015-000866)

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication record in King's Research Portal](#)

Citation for published version (APA):

Rashed, A. N. A., Tomlin, S., Forbes, B. J., & Whittlesea, C. M. C. (2016). Current practice of preparing morphine infusions for nurse/patient-controlled analgesia in a UK paediatric hospital: healthcare professionals' views and experiences. *European journal of hospital pharmacy-Science and practice*. DOI: 10.1136/ejhpharm-2015-000866

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Current practice of preparing morphine infusions for nurse/patient controlled analgesia in a UK paediatric hospital: healthcare professionals' views and experiences

Asia N Rashed^{1,2*}, Stephen Tomlin^{1,2*}, Ben Forbes¹, Cate Whittlesea³

¹Institute of Pharmaceutical Science, King's College London, King's Health Partners, London, UK; ²Pharmacy Department, Evelina London Children's Hospital, Guy's & St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust, King's Health Partners, London, UK; ³School of Medicine, Pharmacy and Health, Durham University, Durham, UK

*Corresponding authors:

*Stephen Tomlin
Pharmacy Department,
Evelina London Children's Hospital,
Guy's & St. Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust
Westminster Bridge Road, London SE1 7EH
E-mail: Stephen.Tomlin@gstt.nhs.uk

*Dr Asia Rashed
Institute of Pharmaceutical Science
King's College London,
150 Stamford Street
London
SE1 9NH
Tel: 0207 848 4844
E-mail: asia.rashed@kcl.ac.uk

Keywords: morphine, opioid intravenous infusions, paediatric, nurse/patient controlled analgesia, focus group

Manuscript word count (excluding title page, abstract, references, tables, figures): 1500

Abstract word count: 150

Number of references: 11

Number of table: 1

Copyright License

"I [*Stephen Tomlin/Asia Rashed*], the Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on behalf of all authors a full copyright assignment to permit this article (if accepted) to be published in European Journal of Hospital Pharmacy, as set out in the copyright assignment <http://journals.bmj.com/site/authors/editorial-policies.xhtml#copyright> and accepts and understands that any supply made under these terms is made by BMJPGJL to the Corresponding Author."

Abstract

Objective

To explore the views and experiences of healthcare professionals (HCPs) regarding the preparation of morphine infusions for nurse/patient controlled analgesia (N/PCA).

Methods

Three focus groups were conducted with HCPs (anaesthetists, nurses in theatres and wards) at one UK children's hospital. Focus groups were transcribed verbatim and content analysis was used to identify themes.

Results

A variety of approaches are used to prepare morphine infusions. A lack of appreciation of the excess volume present in morphine ampoules that nominally contain 1 or 2 mL was identified. Other sources of error were miscalculation, complexity of the multi-step procedure, distractions and time pressure. Participants suggested that 'ready-to-use' prefilled syringes and pre-programmed syringe pumps would improve practice and minimise the risk of error.

Conclusion

Risks associated with the preparation of infusions for paediatric N/PCA, in particular non-appreciation of the overage (excess volume) in morphine ampoules, raises concerns about the accuracy of current practices.

What is already known on this subject

- It is well recognised that preparing intravenous infusions for children is a complex process which poses serious risks, especially when preparing potent medicines.
- Currently there is no standard preparation method that healthcare professionals can follow when preparing morphine intravenous infusions for nurse/patient controlled analgesia for children.

What this study adds

- This study found deficiencies in HCPs knowledge of how to perform accurate infusion preparation. Amongst other factors, we identified an unexpected lack of appreciation of the 'overage' (excess volume) present in morphine ampoules. New, safer procedures are recommended to improve the current practice of preparing intravenous morphine infusions for children, for example programmable infusion pumps in tandem with 'ready-to-use' preparations of standardised concentrations.

INTRODUCTION

The process of preparing and administering an intravenous drug is complex with multiple error-prone steps, which may lead to mistakes that have serious adverse outcomes for patient.[1]

Unlike adult practice, where most patients receive standard concentration drug infusions, nurse- and/or patient-controlled analgesia (N/PCA) for children is prepared as an 'individually made product', i.e. prepared for each patient based on their age or weight. This is done by using the "rule of 6" formula [2] to calculate the infusion concentrations prescribed in micrograms per kilogram per minute. This formula is described as: $6 \times \text{patient's weight (kg)}$ equals the amount of drug in milligrams that should be added to 100 mL of solution, when administered at 1 mL/h to give an infusion rate of 1 microgram/kg/min.[2]

The aim of this study was to explore views and experiences of healthcare professionals (HCPs) on the current practice of preparing morphine infusion for N/PCA use in children and identify any problems they encountered during preparation and administration.

METHODS

Three focus groups were conducted during 2014 with HCPs from three clinical areas at the Evelina London Children's Hospital (ELCH), paediatric ward nurses, operating theatre anaesthetists, and recovery nurses. Focus groups were organised to discuss with HCPs their current practice in preparing morphine infusion for N/PCA, their views and experiences, and to explore any problems they encountered during preparation and administration.

Focus group topic guide was developed by the research team, based on local hospital policy and published literature [1,3-4], covering aspects of current practice in preparing and administering morphine N/PCA. These included; calculation of drug dose, mixing of morphine IV injection with diluent, programming the infusion pump, factors contributing to

occurrence of morphine N/PCA medication errors, and possible solutions to minimise such errors. This guide was used by the moderator to guide the discussion, with all three focus groups undertaken using the same topic guide.

The focus groups were conducted by two members of the research team (CW-moderator, ANR–assistant moderator). Focus groups were audio-recorded and recordings transcribed verbatim (ANR) and validated (CW).

Data Analysis

An anonymised transcript of each focus group was uploaded to QSR NVivo (version 10) software for coding and categorisation to identify themes. Qualitative content analysis was used with three main themes being set *a priori* and supplemented by emergent subthemes identified during analysis.[5-6] Coding frames were prepared and framework analysis created by ANR and checked by CW.

RESULTS

Three separate focus groups (FG) were conducted with participants recruited from the three different clinical areas; 1) FG with theatres' anaesthetists (n=5), 2) FG with ward nurses (n=4), 3) FG with recovery nurses (n=5). Each FG was 45-60 minutes duration. All except one of the participants were female (n=13).

Three main themes were identified: 1) views on the current practice of preparing morphine infusions for N/PCA use, 2) problems and factors contributing to errors in current practice, 3) suggestions to improve current practice and minimise errors. These themes and sub-themes are summarised in table 1 with illustrative quotes from the focus groups. The individualised syringe preparation at ward and/or theatre level was not standardised in terms of mixing drug with diluent, as described by participants. This including the challenge of using different syringe sizes in one preparation, (table 1).

154

155

156

157 **Table 1 Summary of topic themes and subthemes identified from the focus groups**

Theme	Subthemes	Example quotes
The current NCA/PCA preparation process	<p>Paper work; prescription, calculation sheet</p> <p>Calculation checking</p> <p>Mixing drug with diluent</p> <p>Programming the pump</p> <p>The double checking process</p> <p>Labelling</p> <p>Changing syringes</p>	<p><i>"There are two pieces of paper work to do this. There is the prescription which goes on the "as required" section of the drug chart. That's presented as a sticker, so you need to check whether it is the right sticker, and then there is the calculations sheet which also comprises the administration record. So that has a number of calculations to do based on patient's weight. Once those calculations have been done, then you need to obtain the drugs, the diluent, and then draw it up, and then purge the line, place it in the syringe driver, and programme the syringe driver, then attach it to the patient."</i> (paediatric anaesthetist-1)</p> <p><i>"our responsibility...we have to change the syringe....but we can't change any information, on any programme."</i> (ward nurse-1)</p>
Factors contributing to errors in current practice	<p>Time pressure/busy environment, multitasking</p> <p>Calculations</p> <p>Wrong labelling</p> <p>Wrong protocol used</p> <p>Wrong programme on pump</p> <p>Drug ampoule overage</p> <p>Mixed system used in PICU*</p> <p>Look-alike error</p> <p>Use of different syringe sizes in one preparation</p>	<p><i>"We get distracted. There is always other things going on and you get distracted from what you are doing, and there is not always somebody who could actually sit down with you and reliably check all your calculations, so you can get someone to check it on the pump sure, but not necessary, that what I find anyway."</i> (paediatric anaesthetist-2)</p> <p><i>"We have a variety of errors. It can be; no patient's identification on the label on the syringe; no signature on the syringe; no dose on the syringe; the wrong sticker be stuck on the chart; occasionally the wrong protocol has been as well, and occasionally sometimes, the programme doesn't correlate with the protocol. For instance, the protocol may says the background, the programme doesn't."</i> (recovery nurse-1)</p> <p><i>"So for example if you need 26 mg in 50 mL, I will draw up two 10s and then I will draw 10 in 10 and take out 6. So that how I do it."</i> (ODP[†]/recovery nurse-4)</p> <p><i>"Because in the intensive care we have the pain sedation while patients are intubated, we have pre-prepared morphine syringes. That's what we generally use. Only when we are going to send a child to ward or they come from theatre and then they come with NCA or PCA..."</i> (ward nurse-2)</p> <p><i>"Some drugs draw up to 100 mL bag, and hardly any clear solution come in 100 mL bag, and one of them is metronidazole, so on one occasion the PCA was prepared in metronidazole rather than a saline."</i> (paediatric anaesthetist-3)</p> <p><i>"I think there is a challenge which I am sure it should have been shown up in the observations, and that is the different syringes, 1 mL, 2 mL, 2.5 mL syringes where you have got to draw up, say, 1.66 mL, do you use a 1 mL-syringe and then 2.5 mL -syringe to do the 1 mL, then 0.66, or do you use the 2.5 mL-syringes. So there will be inconsistency."</i> (ward nurse-3)</p>

	<p>Delays in obtaining drugs/key/paperwork</p> <p>Not purging the pump</p> <p>Out-of-hours and knowledge of people with the process</p>	<p><i>“Potential for delay and error is if more than one person is involved, like if a trainee would start of the whole process, then we take over or vice versa, but that happened to me when I started the same thing then the trainee took over and then there was an error that I had to correct it later, but it could’ve been potentially dangerous.” (paediatric anaesthetist-1)</i></p> <p><i>“There is one problem that I saw many trainees having. They don’t engage the plunger on the syringe pump, and on very small baby sometimes it takes half a mL or whole mL before it actually starts dripping at the other end, which means that may be 10-15 minutes will pass before they actually get any analgesia. Maybe half an hour if it is small baby.” (paediatric anaesthetist-4)</i></p> <p><i>“Out of hours perhaps is more problematic because you don’t have the pain nurse support... particular during changeover of the doctors, sometimes, information is not related to who they could contact if they have problem.” (recovery nurse-2)</i></p>
Improvements in practice and suggestions to minimise errors	<p>Use PFS^{††}</p> <p>Separate storage for look-alike drugs and diluents</p> <p>Computer programme for calculations</p> <p>Preparation of N/PCA by recovery nurses</p>	<p><i>“Pre-filled syringes, all the way...Because they will be already made-up, just select the syringe that you want and attach it, there is no faffing around with CD [controlled drug] book checking out the CD, drawing it all up. I mean takes quite time to draw up 49 mL of saline.” (ward nurse-4)</i></p> <p><i>“Could be integrated with EPR [electronic patient record] quite easily...definitely would be part of the electronic prescribing once that’s available” (paediatric anaesthetist-1)</i></p> <p><i>“The only thing that comes to me is something that I’ve done before, but not in paediatric setting in adult setting, where the recovery staff set up the pumps. So it is bit more of a controlled area...I think you can get that where two people step out and do the pump and do the drawing up and then take it into theatre, but then again about you going to connecting up something you don’t know about it.” (recovery nurse-3)</i></p>

*PFS of morphine standard concentration for continuous infusion and individually prepared concentration for N/PCA; [†]ODP = Operating department practitioner;

^{††}PFS = pre-filled syringe

Lack of appreciation by the HCPs of the volume overage in morphine ampoules was an emergent theme identified during the focus groups. This was primarily an issue for anaesthetists in theatres (Box 1), although some nurses also explained they were not aware that a measured volume must be withdrawn to accurately extract 10 mg morphine from a 10 mg in 1 mL morphine ampoule.

Box 1: Excerpt of the transcript of the anaesthetists' focus group referring to the actual volume of solution contained in a morphine ampoule.

Anaesthetist 1: *"The ampoules are overfilled. It's supposed to be 10 mg/mL, but there is more than 1 mL in every ampoule."*

Anaesthetist 2: *"Say I've got a 27 kg [weight] child, and you have to put 27 mg in [50 mL]. I'll always put the 20 mg, all of the ampoules in [whole content of 2 ampoules in 50 mL-syringe], as I opposed to drawing it up in a syringe [separate syringe with different size] I will be losing some and I want to make sure that I get what I think in my head is 27 [mg]. So the first 2 [ampoules] will get the full ampoule [whole content of the 2 ampoules] and only [for] the last 7 mg that I will draw [them] up in a 1 mL syringe."*

Anaesthetist 3: *"Yeah, only in round numbers. Like if 30 kg [child's weight], you have 3 ampoules, you put them all [whole content of the 3 ampoules] into the diluent. But if it's 15 kg [weight] baby, you have to have two syringes [2 syringes capacities; one to draw up 1 mL (containing 10 mg) and another to draw up 0.5 mL (containing 5 mg)]."*

Participants identified several factors that contributed to the occurrence of errors when preparing morphine infusion for N/PCA such as wrong calculations, wrong label, and using the wrong protocol, look-alike drugs, inaccurate volume measurement, distractions/busy environment, delays due to obtaining morphine ampoules, multi-tasking, and time pressures (Table 1). This is illustrated in the example below.

"I think time could add on in any contributing factor, as time pressures. Basically links to multi-tasking, but time pressure with something, you are doing something,

you want the child to have pain relief and you want to get on and do it as quickly as possible and I guess all those small things will add on and cause an error; which is a simple calculation of 2, zero, and 1 and 2” (paediatric anaesthetist).

Possible measures to improve practice and minimise errors were identified by participants such as use of ‘ready-to-use’ prefilled syringes, use of a computer programme for calculations and use of separate storage for look-alike drugs (Table 1).

DISCUSSION

This study provides a valuable insight into everyday practice of administering N/PCA for children. During the focus groups, participants identified several factors that might contribute to possible medication errors, such as complex calculation, distractions, busy environment, time pressure, and mixed systems, where prefilled syringe of standard concentration infusion and individually prepared infusions are both used in the same clinical area. Similar factors have been reported in previous studies that investigated causes of IV drug preparation errors.[7-9]

During the focus group HCPs, predominately the anaesthetists, explained their confusion about the volume of morphine solution presented in an ampoule, and reported their practice of withdrawing the entire ampoule contents including the volume overage during their preparation of morphine infusions. It is possible to draw 1.1 mL out of a 10 mg in 1 mL ampoule, which would mean that 11 mg are taken, giving a +10% error. This was consistent with positive deviations in the concentration of morphine infusions prepared by HCPs (unpublished data by our team).

The participants in the focus groups identified various strategies to reduce the number of manipulations required in morphine infusion preparation to minimise the occurrence of errors. Many of these have been suggested previously. The use of prepared standardised infusion was one strategy.[8, 10] A study in children identified that the number of reported

errors associated with continuous medication infusions was reduced by 73% following implementation of standard drug concentration solutions administered using advanced safety pumps with inbuilt 'drug libraries' and default settings to facilitate selection of correct medication and dose.[11]

This study identified the need to improve current practice. Potential solutions include standardisation of morphine concentrations for N/PCA use and/or bulk manufacture of 'ready-to-use' infusions in a quality-controlled environment, interventions to increase knowledge on the use of correct syringe size and overage in ampoules.

The study was conducted in a single paediatric NHS hospital and reflects the current practice there. The results may not be generalisable to all NHS paediatric hospitals, however, staff turnover between hospitals is large and thus there is no reason to believe that knowledge or practice elsewhere is significantly different. Not all staff who prepared morphine N/PCA infusions at this hospital participated in the focus groups and thus the full picture of practice cannot be guaranteed.

CONCLUSIONS

There is little standardisation of the techniques used to prepare N/PCA infusions for children, which raises concerns about the accuracy of current preparation practices. A particular issue was the lack of appreciation of the overage (excess volume) in morphine ampoules. The flaws identified in the current process should be addressed and a number of potential solutions were recommended.

Acknowledgments

Authors wish to thank paediatric anaesthetists and nurses participated in this study.

Funding

This project was funded by the Health Foundation (SHINE 2012 programme). The Health Foundation is an independent charity working to continuously improve quality of healthcare in UK.

Conflict of interest

ANR was funded by the Health Foundation. Other authors declared no financial interests.

Ethical Approval

This study was approved by the Research and Development (R&D) department at Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust (GSTT) and categorised as a non-Ethics study. The local NHS Research Ethics Committee confirmed that NHS ethics approval was not required.

REFERENCES

1. McDowell SE, Mt-Isa S, Ashby D, et al. Where errors occur in the preparation and administration of intravenous medicines: a systematic review and Bayesian analysis. *Qual Saf Health Care* 2010;19:341-5.
2. Mcleroy PA. The rule of six: calculating intravenous infusions in a pediatric crisis situation. *Hosp Pharm* 2004;29:939-40.
3. Parshuram CS, To T, Seto W, et al. Systematic evaluation of errors occurring during the preparation of intravenous medication. *Can Med Assoc J* 2008;178:42-8.
4. Beaney AM, Black A. Preparing injectable medicines safely. *Nurs Times* 2012;108:20-3.
5. Lacey A, Luff D. Qualitative Data Analysis. Trent Focus 2001. Available from: http://research.familymed.ubc.ca/files/2012/03/Trent_Universtiy_Qualitative_Analysis7800.pdf. Accessed 19 Dec 2015.
6. Hsieh HF, Shannon SE. Three approaches to qualitative content analysis. *Qual Health Res* 2005;15:1277-88.
7. Taxis K, Barber N. Causes of intravenous medication errors: and ethnographic study. *Qual Saf Health Care* 2003;12:343-8.
8. Parshuram CS, Ng GY, Ho TK, et al. Discrepancies between ordered and delivered concentrations of opiate infusions in critical care. *Crit Care Med* 2003;31:2483-87.
9. Westbrook JI, Rob MI, Woods A, Parry D. Errors in the administration of intravenous medications in hospital and the role of correct procedures and nurse experience. *BMJ Qual Saf* 2011;20:1027-34.
10. Hilmas E, Sowon A, Gaffoor M, et al. Implementation and evaluation of a comprehensive system to deliver pediatric continuous infusion medications with standardized concentrations. *Am J Health Syst Pharm* 2010;67:58-69.
11. Larsen GY, Parker HB, Cash J, et al. Standard drug concentrations and smart-pump technology reduce continuous-medication-infusion errors in paediatric patients. *Paediatrics* 2005;116:e21-5.